

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Application of:)	
)	
Evan E. Koslow)	
)	
Serial No:)	GROUP ART UNIT: 1791
10/666,878)	
)	
Filed:)	EXAMINER: José A. Fortuna
September 19, 2003)	
)	
For: INTEGRATED PAPER COMPRISING)	DATE: April 17, 2008
FIBRILLATED FIBERS AND ACTIVE)	
PARTICLES IMMOBILIZED THEREIN)	
)	

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REPLACEMENT SECTION FOR:
STATUS OF CLAIMS IN APPELLANT'S BRIEF**

STATUS OF CLAIMS

The subject application was filed on September 19, 2003 with claims 1-40. During prosecution of the application, a Restriction Requirement Office Action was mailed March 15, 2005, restricting the claims to four groups, i.e., Group I, claims 1-25; Group II, claims 26-36; Group III, claim 37; and Group IV, claims 38-40, from which Appellants requested to elect claims 1-25 of Group I.

A Non-Final Office Action was mailed rejecting all elected claims 1-25 in the application, and withdrawing the non-elected claims 26-40. On November 4, 2005 Appellants responded with an amendment amending claims 1, 8, 11, 13 (which was mistakenly labeled as canceled), 15, 21, 23, 24, 25, canceling claims 26-40, and adding new claims 41-43. A Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment was mailed

November 9, 2005 and a response to the Non-Compliant Office Communication was filed May 3, 2006 amending claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 20, 21, 23, 24, canceling 25-40 and adding new claims 41-43. A non-responsive Office Communication was then mailed on July 24, 2006, to which a Supplemental Amendment was filed on August 24, 2006 amending claims 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 13-15, 17, 20, 21, 23, 24, canceling 25-40 and adding new claims 41-43.

Responsive to the Supplemental Amendment filed August 24, 2006, a Final Rejection Office Action was mailed November 14, 2006, rejecting all the claims in the application, to wit, claims 1-24 and 41-43. An amendment in response to the Final Rejection was filed January 17, 2007 amending claims 8, 13, 14, 15, 21, 23, 24, and 41. An Advisory Action was mailed January 29, 2007 and a Request for Continued Examination filed March 14, 2007.

In response to the Request for Continued Examination, a Non-Final Office Action was mailed April 17, 2007 rejecting claims 1-24 and 41-43 in the application. An amendment filed August 1, 2007 amended claims 1, 9, 11, 14, 20, 24, 42 and 43, canceling claims 8, 13, 15, 21, 23 and 41, and adding new claims 44-47. A Final Rejection Office Action was mailed October 16, 2007 rejecting all the claims in the application, to wit, claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16-20, 22, 24, and 42-47. An Amendment After Final Rejection was filed on January 16, 2008.

An Advisory Action was mailed January 30, 2008 and a Notice of Appeal filed on February 7, 2008.


The status of all the claims in the foregoing application are as follows: claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16-20, 22, 24, and 42-47 are rejected, while claims 8, 13, 15, 21, 23 and 25-41 have been canceled.

Accordingly, the claims on Appeal are claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16-20, 22, 24, and 42-47, as set forth in the attached Appendix.

It is again respectfully submitted that the prior art does not disclose nor teach Appellant's invention under 35 USC 103.

Accordingly, for the reasons given above, Appellant respectfully submits that the claimed invention, as a whole, is not obvious over the cited prior art and that the claims on Appeal, to wit, claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16-20, 22, 24, and 42-47, are patentable. The Final Rejection should be reversed and the claims should be allowed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Kelly M. Mowak
Reg. No. 47,898

DeLIO & PETERSON, LLC
121 Whitney Avenue
New Haven, CT 06510-1241
(203)787-0595